

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 **RICHARD J. CRANE,**

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 **D. AMBRIZ, et al.,**

15 Defendants.
16

C 07-4620 JF

**[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING DEFENDANTS
MOTION TO DISMISS**

17 The Court has considered all supporting and opposing arguments regarding Defendants
18 Ambriz, Zornes, Galloway, Hatton, Mantel, and Neotti's Motion to Dismiss. The Court finds
19 that Plaintiff failed to exhaust his administrative remedies as to Defendants Zornes, Galloway,
20 Hatton, Mantel and Neotti; Plaintiff's complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be
21 granted; and that Defendants Ambriz, Zornes, Galloway, Hatton, Mantel, and Neotti are entitled
22 to qualified immunity.
23
24

25 Dated: _____

The Honorable Jeremy Fogel